## CANADIAN **CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION**

360 Bloor Street West, Suite 506 Toronto, ON M5S 1X1 Telephone (416) 363-0321 Fax (416) 861-1291 E-mail: mail@ccla.org



## **ASSOCIATION** CANADIENNE DES LIBERTES CIVILES

360 rue Bloor Ouest, Suite 506 Toronto, ON M5S 1X1 Téléphone (416) 363-0321 Télécopieur (416) 861-1291 Courriel: mail@ccla.org

**CUTIVE COMMITTEE** COMITE EXECUTIF
Past Presidents
ésidentes Précédents
. J. KEILLER MACKAY

(1888-1970) J.S. MIDANIK, Q.C. (1920-1997) JOHN NELLIGAN, Q.C. HARRY W. ARTHURS ALTER TARNOPOLSKY (1932-1993) WALTER PITMAN SYBIL SHACK (1911-2004) ON. ALLAN BLAKENEY

President Présidente

Vice-presidents Vice-présidentes JAMIE CAMERON SUSAN COOPER CÔTÉ-HARPER, Q.C. MARLYS EDWARDH ) L. GREENSPAN, Q.C. PATRICIA JACKSON JOHN D. McCAMUS DELIA OPEKOKEW )N. HOWARD PAWLEY KENNETH P. SWAN DR. JOSEPH WONG

MARSHA HANEN

Secretary Secrétairé (DNEY GOLDENBERG

> Treasurer ELAINE SLATER

General Counsel Avocat Général THALIE DES ROSIERS

HARD OF DIRECTORS
D'ADMINISTRATION
FRANK ADDARIO
RREN ALLMAND, Q.C.
OMLEY ARMSTRONG
RONALD ATKEY, Q.C.
FRÉDERIC BACHAND
LOSEPH BOYDEN JOSEPH BOYDEN DWARD BROADBENT LEAH CASSELMAN SAUL CHERNIAK, Q.C. OMINIQUE CLÉMENT JANE COBDEN MICHAEL CONNER DR. DEBBY COPES DAVID CRONENBERG FERNAND DAOUST PETER DESBARATS BRIAN A. F. EDY SUSAN ENG MEL FINKELSTEIN ROBERT FULFORD VICKI GABEREAU ANCE R. GLUBE, Q.C. KATHERINE GOVIER LOUIS GREENSPAN HUSSEIN HAMDANI SHIRLEY HEAFEY HARISH JAIN MUHMUD JAMAL JANET KEEPING JOY KOGAWA ANNE La FOREST CYRIL LEVITT ANDREW LOKAN A. WAYNE MACKAY KEN MANDZUIK JON OLIVER PENELOPE ROWE

RY REV. LOIS WILSON ion & Administration D. S. McLAUGHLIN

PAUL SCHABAS MARVIN SCHIFF IVID SCHNEIDERMAN ERIC TEED, Q.C. WALTER THOMPSON

, Project de la liberté d'expression A MENDELSOHN AVIV

Public Safety Project de sécurité publique GRAEME NORTON

ral Counsel Emeritus ocat Général Émérite A ALAN BOROVY

May 21, 2010

House of Commons To:

Standing Committee on Citizenship and Immigration

By e-mail: cimm@parl.gc.ca

Dear Committee Members:

RE: Bill C-11: An Act to amend the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act and the Federal Courts Act (March 2010)

The CCLA thanks you for the opportunity to provide brief, written comments on Bill C-11.

The CCLA recognizes the need to ensure that the refugee system is efficient. Bill C-11 contains several welcome changes in this regard. However, we are concerned that some of the proposed changes may undermine some of Canada's international legal commitments to refugees, and elaborate below.

### A. CONTEXT: Canada's Legal Commitment to Refugees

Refugees are individuals who are particularly vulnerable as they have lost the protection of their country of origin and are victims of persecution. Canada's legal commitments to refugees arise pursuant to the 1951 UN Convention on the Status Relating to Refugees, ("1951 Convention), and the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act, (2001), ("IRPA").

It is CCLA's view that the purposes of IRPA provide the context in which Bill C-11 must be considered, and we note that sections 3(2)(a), (b), (d), and (e) set out IRPA's purposes as being:

- To fulfill Canada's international obligations to refugees
- To protect the persecuted •
- To provide a safe haven to those with a "well-founded fear of persecution based on reasons of race, nationality, religion, membership in a social group and political opinion".
- To "establish fair and efficient procedures to maintain the integrity of Canada's refugee protection system while upholding Canada's respect for the human rights and fundamental freedoms of all human beings". (our emphasis)

#### B. <u>Designated Countries of Origin</u>

Clause 12 of Bill C-11 amends section 109 IRPA, providing that the Minister "may, by order, for the purposes of subsection (3), designate a country or part of a country or a class of nations of a country if, in the Minister's opinion, they meet the criteria established by the regulations."

The CCLA is opposed to the creation of a 'designated countries' or 'safe countries of origin' list, because such a list would be inherently flawed:

- A presumption that human rights violations do not occur in western democracies is false and harmful. Countless reports by government monitors, UN mechanisms, and domestic NGOs, indicate that persecution of vulnerable individuals – for example, ethnic minorities, women, homosexuals, members of a particular social group – persist worldwide.
- 2. The list contradicts a basic tenet of refugee law which is individual status determination. Only in urgent circumstances (e.g. Rwanda, the former Yugoslavia) are 'group determination status' measures employed, and even then, the presumption is for "inclusion" and not, as here with a 'safe country list', for "exclusion".
- 3. There are no legislatively prescribed criteria, regarding creation of such lists, which can be scrutinized. As it stands, the list could fall prey to diplomatic, political or economic pressures or expediencies. Criteria that may be prescribed later in regulations will not be subject to proper Parliamentary scrutiny.
- 4. A claimant hailing from a so-called 'safe country' is likely to be subject to bias against his or her claim being legitimate.
- 5. A claimant hailing from a so-called 'safe country' will not be allowed to appeal a negative decision to the new Refugee Appeal Board, but must go directly to the Federal Court. In refugee status determination, to treat one claimant differently from another claimant on the basis of country of origin with no regard to individual circumstances, may result in discrimination.
- 6. The argument that safe country lists may be used elsewhere does not justify use in Canada, in light of the above concerns.

http://www.cic.gc.ca/english/department/media/backgrounders/2010/2010-03-30b.asp, that it is stated the opinion of "UNHCR will be sought" in identifying 'safe countries'; but as presented, this in our view is no absolute guarantee against other influences.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We note in the Citizenship and Immigration Canada 2010 Backgrounder: Safe Countries of Origin, available at

# The CCLA recommends that clause 12 of Bill C-11 creating 'designated' or 'safe countries' be deleted.

Sincerely,

Nathalie Des Rosiers General Counsel

M for Ra